Are Vanikoro languages really Austronesian?

A.L.L.2 ~ UKARG Oxford, 3rd June 2006 Alexandre FRANÇOIS LACITO — C.N.R.S — Paris

Presentation

Darrell Tryon (1994: 635) says:

"The status of the six languages of Utupua and Vanikoro is in no doubt. These are clearly Austronesian languages by any standards."

Focusing on the languages of Vanikoro, I'd like to show there is room for doubt on that assumption:

- either the languages of Vanikoro are *not* Austronesian
- or if they indeed are Austronesian, this is anything but 'clear', because most of their AN characteristics have disappeared.
- This paper will not come up with definite conclusions: at least
 I'd like to show there is a problem to be solved by future research
 a problem overlooked by former accounts.





Base 504633 (545714) 4-81

The three languages of Vanikoro

The three languages of Vanikoro

- *Teanu* (Buma)
- Tanema
- Lovono (Vano)
 4 speakers

800 speakers

3 speakers

my text corpus 14300 words 1410 words 1133 words

Although these 3 languages share the same syntax, they show spectacular formal (lexical, morphological) diversity.

Example

'We were in our garden, we've been planting crops.'

	1exc:pl- <i>stay</i>	in	garden	OUR	1exc:pl- <i>plant</i>	food
TEA	Pi-te	ne	sekele	iupa,	pi-wowo	none.
LVN	Nupe-lu	ne	amenonga	iemitore,	nupe-ngoa	nane.
TNM	Tei-o	ini	vasangola	akegamuto,	ti-oa	bauva.

The three languages of Vanikoro

- Before even looking at the relations of Vanikoro with external languages, this spectacular formal diversity within Vanikoro is in itself a puzzle that deserves to be solved in the future.
- This situation recalls (at least impressionistically) the diversity found between Papuan languages – much more so than between any two close AN languages.

 For methodological reasons, I will essentially focus on one language in this demonstration: Teanu.
 It can be seen as representative of the 3 languages, at least regarding the difficulty of proving their AN status.

Observing the lexicon of Teanu:

- Most lexical items seem disconnected from any known POc etyma.
- Only 87 items (out of 1100) retained my attention as of possible Oceanic origin.
 - 87 is not negligible

(indeed these items are often cited as evidence that Teanu is Oceanic)

- but this corresponds to only 7.9 percent of the lexicon, which is close to the margin of error (chance and borrowing)
- Moreover:

Among these 87 items, phonological correspondences are irregular and often require *ad hoc* hypotheses.

	POc	Kahua [S.E.Solomonic]	Teanu [Vanikoro]	Lo-Toga [N.C.Vanuatu]
yesterday	*nañorap	nanora	pepane	nənorə
red	*meRaq	memera		məmi
snake	*m ^w ata	m ^w a	abilo	ŋ ^w etə
village	*panua	hinua	kulumoe	ßənüə
bamboo	*qauR	yau		Э
die	*mate	mae	-bu	met
sleep	*maturuR	mauru		mətür
his/her hair	*pulu-ña	huru-na	fiabasa ini	lü-nə
sago palm	*qatop	ao		et
you two	*kamiu rua	yamirua		kəmýr

	POc	Kahua [S.E.Solomonic]	Teanu [Vanikoro]	Lo-Toga [N.C.Vanuatu]
yesterday	*nañorap	nanora	pepane	nənorə
red	*meRaq	memera	moloe	məmi
snake	*m ^w ata	m ^w a	abilo	ŋʷetə
village	*panua	hinua	kulumoe	βənüə
bamboo	*qauR	yau	okoro	Э
die	*mate	mae	-bu	met
sleep	*maturuR	mauru	-mokoiu	mətür
his/her hair	*pulu-ña	huru-na	fiabasa ini	lü-nə
sago palm	*qatop	ao	otovo	et
you two	*kamiu rua	yamirua	kela	kəmor

	POc	Kahua [S.E.Solomonic]	Teanu [Vanikoro]	Lo-Toga [N.C.Vanuatu]
yesterday	*nañorap	nanora	pepane	nənorə
red	*meRaq	memera	moloe	məmi
snake	*m ^w ata	m ^w a	abilo	ŋʷetə
village	*panua	hinua	kulumoe	βənüə
bamboo	*qauR	yau	okoro	Э
die	*mate	mae	-bu	met
sleep	*maturuR	mauru	-mokoiu	mətür
his/her hair	*pulu-ña	huru-na	fiabasa ini	lü-nə
sago palm	*qatop	ao	()otovo	et
you two	*kamiu rua	yamirua	kela	kəmor

menuko	'bird'	<	POc *manuk	
ma	ʻarm, hand'	<	POc *lima	?
luro	'coconut'	<	POc *niuR	?
aele	ʻleg, foot'	<	POc *qaqe	?
uo	'yam'	<	POc *qupi	?
basa	'head'	<	POc *b ^(w) atu	?
bwogo	'night'	<	POc *boŋi	?
foŋoro	'Canarium'	<	POc *[ka]ŋaRi	?
diŋobe	'Kingfisher'	<	POc *sikon	?
iawo	'fire'	<	POc *api	?
-wene	ʻlie down'	<	POc *qenop	?
-punuo	'steal'	<	POc *panako	?
-leŋi	'hear, feel'	<	POc *roŋoR	?
-le	'go'	<	POc *lako	?

Searching for Oceanic morphosyntax

The typological features of Vanikoro languages are generally reminiscent of other Oceanic languages

- S-V-O order
- Possessed-Possessor
- Prepositions
- [Noun-Adj-Dem]
- Verbs take subject prefixes (Realis vs Irrealis)
- Serial verb constructions
- Verb 'say' grammaticalised as Complementiser
- Three numbers (sing, dual, plural); no gender, no case
- Contrast of (in)alienability + Food/drink classifiers
- Geocentric system of space reference

Searching for Oceanic morphosyntax

The typological features of Vanikoro languages are generally reminiscent of other Oceanic languages

- S-V-O order
- **Possessed-Possessor**
- Prepositions

single typological package

- not typical [Noun-Adj-Dem] enough to be diagnostic
 - Verbs take subject prefixes (Realis vs Irrealis)
 - Serial verb constructions
 - Verb 'say' grammaticalised as Complementiser
 - Three numbers (sing, dual, plural); no gender, no case
 - Contrast of (in)alienability + Food/drink classifiers
 - Geocentric system of space reference

Searching for Oceanic morphosyntax

The typological features of Vanikoro languages are generally reminiscent of other Oceanic languages

- S-V-O order
- Possessed-Possessor

[Noun-Adj-Dem]

Prepositions

single typological package

- not typical enough to be diagnostic

 - diffusable features (?)
 - un-Oceanic

- Verbs take subject prefixes (Realis vs Irrealis)
- Serial verb constructions
- Verb 'say' grammaticalised as Complementiser
- Three numbers (sing, dual, plural); no gender, no case
- Contrast of (in)alienability + Food/drink classifiers
- Geocentric system of space reference
- Sentence-final negation

Subject prefixes of Teanu

	SING	DUAL	PLUR
1incl		la-	li- le- 💊
1excl	ni- ne-	r ba-	r pi- pe-
2	a- u-	ba-	L pi- pe-
3	i-	la- 🖊	li- le- 🦊

■ Subject prefixes of POc (Lynch, Ross, Crowley 2002: 67)

	SING	DUAL	PLUR
1incl		*ta-	*ta-
1excl	*ku- ~ *au-	—	—
2	*mu- ~ *ko-	—	—
3	*a-~ *i-	*ra-	*ra-

Independent pronouns of Teanu

	SING	DUAL	PLUR
1incl		kia	kiapa
1excl	ene	keba	kupa
2	eo	kela	kaipa
3	ini	da	dapa

Independent pronouns of POC (Lynch, Ross, Crowley 2002: 67)

	SING	DUAL	PLUR
1incl		*kita rua	*kita
1excl	*[i]au	*ka[m]i rua	*ka[m]i
2	*[i]ko[e]	*kamiu rua	*kamiu
3	*ia	*ra rua	*[k]ira

Possessive markers of Teanu

	SING	DUAL	PLUR
1incl		i-akia	i-akapa
1excl	enone	i-aba	i-upa
2	i-ono	i-amela	i-aipa
3	i-ape	i-ada	i-adapa

Possessive suffixes of POC (Lynch, Ross, Crowley 2002: 67)

	SING	DUAL	PLUR
1incl		(*-da rua)	*-da
1excl	*-gu	—	*-ma[m]i
2	*-mu	—	*-m[i]u
3	* - ña	(*-ra rua)	* - 1a

Noun morphology

- no trace of article * na
- no affixation on inalienable nouns (personal suffixes, *-qi, ...)
- Food/Drink classifier (≈Ø-) does not reflect * ka-
 - Drink classifier me (<POc *ma-) in little use [borrowed?]</p>

Verb morphology

- no trace of POc object suffixes
- no trace of transitiviser * -/
- no trace of applicative * -aki(n)
- no valency-changing affixes (Causative *paka-, Reciprocal *paRi-...)
- no derivation { Verbs <--> Nouns } (!)
- no use of reduplication (!)

The evidence that we are dealing with an AN language is slim.

Possible explanations

- We have *roughly* { Oceanic structures, non-Oceanic forms }
- Possible explanations
 - Ianguage contact or language shift between AN and Non-AN (=Papuan) language
 - Ianguage-internal change

The language contact hypothesis

1. Papuan language influenced by Austronesian input?

- would account for the massively non-AN/OC forms
- requires less than 7.9 % of lexicon (+ 1 % of morphology) being borrowed from AN source

 \rightarrow these are likely figures

but requires almost all syntactic patterns to be borrowed (calqued?) from AN source.

 \rightarrow is this a likely scenario??

 Would be a case of *metatypy* (Ross 1996): a NAN language having its syntactic structures reshaped through contact with AN...

The language contact hypothesis

2. Austronesian language influenced by Papuan input?

- would account for the AN/OC features
- *but* would require 92 % of lexical borrowing (or change)
 + 99 % of morphological borrowing (or change)
- Problem of the 'Papuan' side of the coin: the surrounding 'East Papuan' languages do not seem to qualify as likely donors.
 [...but more information would be welcome]

The esoterogeny hypothesis

- 3. A 'purely' Austronesian language?
 - We may be dealing with an essentially AN / OC language, which for some reason would have drastically renewed its lexicon and morphology, 'language internally'.
 - There would be no Papuan donor to look for.
 - Structures kept intact, 92% of forms renewed.
 - One possible sociolinguistic explanation: the phenomenon of *esoterogeny* (Thurston 1989, Ross 1996).
 - = The tendency for speakers to keep their language increasingly distinct from neighbouring languages, especially through lexical innovations.

Conclusion

Something spectacular has happened...

- 1. NAN influenced by AN:
 - spectacular case of metatypy (calqued morphosyntax)
- 2. AN influenced by NAN:
 - spectacular case of extensive lexical borrowing
- 3. AN language with mainly 'internal' evolution: spectacular case of esoterogeny and lexical replacement
- No hypothesis really prevails.
- But the *esoterogeny hypothesis* seems favoured by:
 - existence of about 80 words of probable/possible Oceanic origin
 - seeming absence of any likely Papuan lexifier (?)
 - strong tendency for esoterogeny and lexical replacement exists already *between* the three languages of Vanikoro

Conclusion

The languages of Vanikoro *may be* of Austronesian origin

(?)